

From the President of Hypocrisy and Cowardice

While seeking to enslave society's most vulnerable, through the administration of criminal laws which are enforced and prosecuted with near exclusivity against society's poor, President Biden entreats their youth, even admonishing them of their duty, to fight and to die to ensure and protect his freedom. Biden spent his public career, prior to assuming the presidency, as a lawmaker. It would seem that such is a noble profession. But one must bear in mind that while laws, according to their language, apply equally to all, are not enforced or prosecuted equally against all. Of this truth, Hunter bears undeniable witness.

Little doubt can exist that President Biden, speaking at the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier on Memorial Day, had in mind, shall we say, certain rather objectionable foreign governments and their respective leaderships, when he said:

Freedom has never been guaranteed. Every generation has to earn it, fight for it, defend it in the battle between autocracy and democracy, between the greed of the few, and the rights of the many.

—Zeke Miller, AP White House correspondent, "Biden says each generation has to 'earn' freedom, in solemn Memorial Day remarks" (May 28, 2024)

But is the United States' present political aristocracy, despotic oligarchy that it is, any less objectionable, any less repulsive, any less threatening and dangerous to democracy and the freedom of the populous, than even the worst of autocracies, theocracies, or various other types of authoritarian rulerships? Should a people's greatest concern about their government be the number of persons controlling it, or should it rather be the policies and operations of said government?

Are we to believe that Biden is a Democrat, Trump a Republican, or Kennedy a Libertarian? While these titles may serve to distinguish between these governmental cults, all have lost their meaning. Today's Democrat is but yesterday's Republican; today's Republican is more indicative of the founding era's Federalist; and Libertarian is simply noncommittal, a wind

walker. On an individual level, each of these persons is but a masterful manipulator. They each have become proficient at telling their constituents just what they want to hear, following through on none of their promises or propositions, and convincing their followers not to notice. In their essence each of these individuals are the same person, a dobbelganger of the monster that they have painted so many others to be. Their masks only are different. But they each are worse than those whom they rail against, for most of those hide not their authoritarian ambitions, propensities, and motivations.

An examination of Biden's professional resume exposes him for the hypocrite that he is, for the despotic authoritarian which he longs to be. As a first example, let us consider the 1994 Crime Bill, and its "Tough on Crime," "Lock 'em Up and Throw Away the Key" mentality, of which Biden was the principal architect. By Biden's hand here the United States' carceral state grew faster and fatter than at any other time in the history of the nation, or by any other combination of influences. Here Biden publically proclaimed that he had no interest in addressing the root causes of crime, but was only interested in locking up those persons committing crime. This might be likened to a doctor administering pain relievers to a cancer patient but declaring that (s)he had no interest in treating the disease causing the patient's pain. Why was Biden not interested in addressing the root causes of crime? Perhaps LBJ's Kerner Commission answered this question best, when in 1968 it reported that, "white society is deeply implicated in the ghetto. White institutions created it, white institutions maintain it, and white society condones it." This is a hard pill to swallow, a truth that no politician, indeed that not even the public at large, wants to acknowledge: The United States today is as racist as it has ever been, most especially the government. But today's situation is more dire yet, because to hide the racist leanings of top governmental actors, and to hide the racist underpinnings of the government's litigious controls, the government has shifted its focus from strictly racist to the broader compass of classist. This shift has created a chasm between the "us's" and the "them's," between those who have the means to protect themselves legally, from the government, and those who have not those means. This chasⁿe is even wider than the divide separating the races.

Does this sound like the work of "one who practices social equality," a Democrat? Definition from Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (11th ed. 2003).

Moving on through Biden's resume, as a second example, we find the Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA). Demonstrating the Government's lustful desire to murder its citizens, this legislation's primary concern, rather than being to ensure the conduction of justice, is to ensure the finality of criminal convictions, without regard for, and often at the cost of justice. This "process above justice" is an overarching theme of state and federal governments in the United States. The name of this legislation might lead one to believe that its scope is quite narrow, but such is not the case. The name of this legislation, for whatever reason, is a deceptive misrepresentation. While this law does apply to crimes of terrorism, and while it does apply to those sentenced to death, it is not applied exclusively to these groups. This is significant because it is a ready demonstration of the inequality of this law—That is, it advantages one group while disadvantaging another. This law establishes strict requirements and limitations on those convicted of committing felony offenses, who are seeking to challenge the legitimacy of their criminal convictions, and it is applied, without prejudice, to all persons convicted of having committed felonious crimes. The inequality of the law is this: Those persons to whom the death penalty has been attached enjoy the benefit of state appointed attorney representation throughout their post-conviction challenges to their convictions; this is the only group who enjoys such a benefit. Thus, while the death penalty appellants are able, because they have legal representation, to meet the AEDPA requirements with quality arguments, non death penalty appellants are condemned, because of their want of legal acuity, to meet those same requirements with arguments which are poorly conceived and written, if they are able to meet them at all. While those with legal representation seldom prevail against a government which is more concerned with the finality of its convictions than with justice, those without such legal representation, quite naturally, prevail even less often.

Does this sound like the work of "one who practices social equality," a Democrat? Definition from Webster's.

A third example, also found in Biden's resume, is the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA) of 1995. The purpose of this legislation is simple: to restrict, as much as possible, incarcerated persons' access to the federal civil court system, where the conditions of their—often inhumane—confinement might be challenged.

Does this sound like the work of "one who practices social equality," a Democrat? Definition from Webster's. Perhaps Mr. Biden practices democracy among his particular social class, but without consideration for those who placed him in that particular class.

President Biden stands gazing into Harry Potter's "Mirror of Erised," hypnotized. He sees not what is, but what he desires to be. Much the same might be said about his constituency: they see what they want him to be, not what he is. He imagines himself a worthy democrat, but such a creature is no more than a myth. He is a despot, but he sees it not, and worse yet, neither does his constituency. On his hands is the blood of hundreds of thousands of destroyed lives, and of an equal number of broken families and orphaned and criminalized children. But sadly he sees it not.

Biden is of course correct. Freedom has never been guaranteed. The Government, in fact, at its every opportunity, encroaches upon the freedom of the whole of the populous, generally, and those without economic means, more specifically. Biden spends a long and prosperous career as a lawmaker. And what do lawmakers do but make laws? But the Government and the citizenry are antipolar in the equation of laws. While the Government benefits from more and broader laws—which Biden appears^{rs} to be a master at making—the citizens benefit from fewer and narrower laws. So it might be said that Biden spent a fifty year career working for the expansion of governmental authoritarianism, aggrandizing himself along the way, at the detriment of every American citizen.

Biden is again correct in his stating, that every generation must fight to earn, retain, and to defend their freedom against the greedy and the tyrannical. But while his eyes are on Russia, China, Iran and others, the eyes of the American citizenry should be on him, and on others like him, for in their hands only are the reins on the freedom of the peoples of the United States.

Biden and too many others like him, fearmongers at the threat posed by authoritarians, such as those mentioned above; he uses such as these as a scare-mongering tactic, and as a distraction, to focus the public's attention on a target other than the home government, but the home government, as history clearly attests, is the greatest enemy of the people. It is curious that we, as a people, are so eager to support those who seek to oppress and destroy us for their own aggrandizement and amusement.

How much of a threat is the Government (inclusive of state governments) to the average citizen? It has been said of federal laws—State laws are another matter yet—that there are so many of them that the average citizen commits three felonies every day, without ever knowing it. How easy would it be then for your government to seize you without warning, for violating a law you may not even have known existed, deprive you of your freedom—which Biden charges you to fight for and to defend—and enslave you? This threat is much closer than Russia, China, or Iran. And it is far more real.